

Preliminary report: genetic variation within the *GPBAR1* gene is not associated with metabolic traits in white subjects at an increased risk for type 2 diabetes mellitus

Karsten Müssig^a, Harald Staiger^{a,*}, Fausto Machicao^a, Jürgen Machann^b, Fritz Schick^b,
Silke A. Schäfer^a, Claus D. Claussen^b, Jens J. Holst^c, Baptist Gallwitz^a,
Norbert Stefan^a, Andreas Fritsche^a, Hans-Ulrich Häring^a

^aDivision of Endocrinology, Diabetology, Angiology, Nephrology, and Clinical Chemistry, Department of Internal Medicine,
University Hospital of Tübingen, 72076 Tübingen, Germany

^bSection on Experimental Radiology, Department of Diagnostic Radiology, University Hospital of Tübingen, 72076 Tübingen, Germany

^cDepartment of Biomedical Sciences, Panum Institutet, Copenhagen 2200, Denmark

Received 14 April 2009; accepted 26 June 2009

Abstract

Bile acids are signaling molecules with important endocrine functions. Some of these, including the induction of energy expenditure in brown adipose tissue and skeletal muscle as well as the stimulation of glucagon-like peptide–1 (GLP-1) production in enteroendocrine L-cells, are mediated by the G-protein–coupled bile acid receptor 1 (GPBAR1). Therefore, we investigated in a cohort of white subjects at increased risk for type 2 diabetes mellitus whether a genetic variation within the *GPBAR1* gene contributes to prediabetic phenotypes, such as disproportionate fat distribution, insulin resistance, or β -cell dysfunction. We genotyped 1576 subjects (1043 women, 533 men) for the single nucleotide polymorphism rs3731859 in the *GPBAR1* gene. All subjects underwent an oral glucose tolerance test; a subset additionally had a hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp. Regional fat distribution, ectopic hepatic and intramyocellular lipids were determined by magnetic resonance techniques. Peak aerobic capacity, a surrogate parameter for oxidative capacity of skeletal muscle, was measured by an incremental exercise test on a motorized treadmill. Total GLP-1 and gastric inhibitory peptide levels were determined by radioimmunoassay. After appropriate adjustment and Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons, rs3731859 was not significantly associated with regional or ectopic fat distribution, peak aerobic capacity, levels of incretins, insulin sensitivity, or indices of insulin secretion. Nominal associations were found between rs3731859 and body mass index, waist circumference, fasting GLP-1 levels, and intramyocellular lipids in the soleus muscle ($P = .02$, $P = .02$, $P = .05$, and $P = .03$, respectively). Our data suggest that a common genetic variation within the *GPBAR1* gene may not play a major role in the development of prediabetic phenotypes in our white population.

© 2009 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Bile acids play key roles in dietary lipid absorption and cholesterol homeostasis. Bile acids are signaling molecules with important endocrine functions that are mediated by activation of mitogen-activated protein kinase pathways of nuclear hormone receptors, such as farnesoid X receptor, and

of the G-protein–coupled bile acid receptor 1 (GPBAR1), also known as TGR5. GPBAR1 is expressed in many tissues, including target tissues of insulin action, such as liver, skeletal muscle, and adipose tissue [1]. Some of the metabolic effects of bile acids, such as the induction of energy expenditure in brown adipose tissue and skeletal muscle [2], the stimulation of glucagon-like peptide–1 (GLP-1) production in enteroendocrine cells [3], and the antidiabetic effects of olive leaves [4], are mediated by GPBAR1. In line with these findings, in a murine *Gpbar1* knockout model, high-fat diet resulted in a significantly higher fat accumulation compared with wild types [5]. In light of these endocrine functions, *GPBAR1* appears to be an

* Corresponding author. Medizinische Klinik IV, Universitätsklinikum Tübingen, Otfried-Müller-Str. 10, D-72076 Tübingen, Germany. Tel.: +49 7071 2980391; fax: +49 7071 295277.

E-mail address: harald.staiger@med.uni-tuebingen.de (H. Staiger).

attractive prediabetes candidate gene. Therefore, we studied the impact of a common genetic variation in *GPBAR1* on prediabetes phenotypes including β -cell dysfunction, insulin resistance, and disproportionate body fat distribution.

2. Patients and methods

2.1. Subjects

One thousand five hundred seventy-six nondiabetic subjects at increased risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus were recruited from southern Germany and participated in an ongoing study on the pathophysiology of type 2 diabetes mellitus [6]. All subjects were metabolically characterized by an oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT). In randomly selected subgroups, a hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp was performed. Total, visceral, and nonvisceral fats were determined by magnetic resonance imaging; and intramyocellular and intrahepatic lipids, by magnetic resonance spectroscopy. Peak aerobic capacity, a surrogate parameter for oxidative capacity of skeletal muscle, was measured using an incremental exercise test on a motorized treadmill (Saturn; HP-Cosmos, Traunstein, Germany); and total GLP-1 and total gastric inhibitory peptide (GIP) plasma concentrations were determined by radioimmunoassay. Participants gave informed written consent to the study. The protocol was approved by the local ethical committee.

2.2. Genotyping

Using the publically available phase II data of the International HapMap Project derived from a population of Utah residents with ancestry from northern and western Europe (release #23a March 2008, <http://www.hapmap.org/index.html.en>), we screened in silico the complete *GPBAR1* gene spanning 2844 bases from nucleotide 218 833 983 to nucleotide 218 836 826 (2 exons, located on human chromosome 2q35) as well as 5 kilobases each of its 5'- and 3'-flanking regions. Among 7 informative single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), only 1 SNP, rs3731859 (located in the 5' untranslated region), had a minor allele frequency (MAF) of at least 5%. Genotyping for rs3731859 was performed using the TaqMan assay (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). The overall genotyping success rate was 100%, and rescreening of 3% of subjects gave 100% identical results.

2.3. Statistical analyses

Log-transformation of metabolic variables was performed before simple and multivariate linear regression analyses. In multivariate linear regression models, the trait was chosen as dependent variable; and sex, age, body mass index (BMI), and genotype were tested as independent variables. To account for the number of independent traits analyzed (anthropometrics, insulin sensitivity, and insulin secretion) and the 2 genetic models performed, a Bonferroni-corrected

Table 1
Associations of *GPBAR1* SNP rs3731859 with metabolic parameters

SNP	rs3731859							
	Mean	n	d	AA	AG	GG	P_{additive}	P_{dominant}
BMI (kg/m ²)	28.9 ± 8.2	1570	0.16	28.2 ± 8.0	29.1 ± 8.4	29.1 ± 8.1	.07	.02
Waist (cm)	94 ± 17	1522	0.16	92 ± 17	94 ± 18	95 ± 18	.04	.02
Glucose, fasting (mmol/L)	5.11 ± 0.55	1576	0.16	5.06 ± 0.51	5.12 ± 0.56	5.14 ± 0.58	.11	.10
AUC glc (mmol/L)	14.6 ± 3.4	1566	0.16	14.3 ± 2.9	15.1 ± 3.7	14.7 ± 3.3	.2	.08
Insulin, fasting (pmol/L)	64 ± 53	1563	0.16	58 ± 45	66 ± 56	66 ± 55	.3	.12
Insulin, 30-min OGTT (pmol/L)	494 ± 393	1559	0.16	480 ± 377	501 ± 404	494 ± 387	.8	.7
1st-phase insulin secretion (nmol/L)	1.27 ± 0.84	1559	0.16	1.23 ± 0.80	1.29 ± 0.87	1.28 ± 0.84	.18	.3
C-peptide, 30-min OGTT (nmol/L)	2.05 ± 0.89	1538	0.16	2.00 ± 0.84	2.08 ± 0.92	2.05 ± 0.90	.3	.8
AUC C-pep/AUC glc ([pmol/L]/[mmol/L])	320 ± 107	1520	0.16	318 ± 103	323 ± 109	317 ± 110	.2	.3
HOMA-IR (U)	2.48 ± 2.22	1563	0.16	2.23 ± 1.84	2.58 ± 2.40	2.56 ± 2.22	.2	.8
ISI, OGTT (U)	16.3 ± 10.7	1556	0.16	17.0 ± 10.5	16.2 ± 11.1	15.6 ± 10.3	.3	.14
ISI, clamp ($\mu\text{M}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol/L}^{-1}$)	0.085 ± 0.055	512	0.27	0.084 ± 0.049	0.087 ± 0.062	0.082 ± 0.043	.8	.7
GLP-1, fasting (pmol/L)	17.1 ± 8.7	171	0.48	18.1 ± 6.7	17.2 ± 9.4	15.7 ± 9.2	.06	.05
GIP, fasting (pmol/L)	14.5 ± 10.2	171	0.48	14.7 ± 9.2	14.0 ± 7.4	13.0 ± 6.2	.7	.5
TAT (% body weight)	30.2 ± 8.8	335	0.34	31.0 ± 7.6	30.2 ± 9.3	29.1 ± 9.3	.5	.5
VAT (% body weight)	3.3 ± 1.7	335	0.34	3.1 ± 1.7	3.4 ± 1.7	3.4 ± 1.6	.6	.4
Hepatic lipids (%)	6.5 ± 8.0	316	0.35	5.6 ± 7.6	6.8 ± 8.4	7.1 ± 7.6	.6	.4
IMCL tibialis anterior (AU)	4.1 ± 1.9	290	0.36	3.9 ± 1.6	4.3 ± 2.1	3.7 ± 1.8	.19	1.0
IMCL soleus (AU)	15.3 ± 7.7	224	0.41	16.2 ± 8.8	15.0 ± 7.7	14.4 ± 5.6	.09	.03
VO _{2 peak} TM (mL·min ⁻¹ ·kg ⁻¹ lbm)	27.9 ± 9.3	946	0.20	27.8 ± 8.6	28.0 ± 9.7	28.0 ± 9.4	.5	.4

Unadjusted data are shown and given as mean ± SD. For statistical analysis, data were log-transformed; BMI and waist circumference were adjusted for age and sex; first-phase insulin secretion, C-peptide (30-minute OGTT), and AUC C-peptide/AUC glucose were adjusted for sex, age, BMI, and insulin sensitivity (OGTT); all other data were adjusted for sex, age, and BMI. Power calculation was performed in the dominant inheritance model ($1 - \beta > 0.8$, α level $P < .05$, 2-tailed t test) using G*power software available at <http://www.psych.uni-duesseldorf.de/aap/projects/gpower>. AUC indicates area under the curve; C-pep, C-peptide; d, effect size d; glc, glucose; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; ISI, insulin sensitivity index; lbm, lean body mass; TAT, total adipose tissue; TM, treadmill; U, units; VAT, visceral adipose tissue; VO_{2 peak}, peak aerobic capacity.

α level of $P < .008$ was considered statistically significant. The statistical software package JMP 7.0 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC) was used. Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium was tested using the χ^2 test. Power calculation was performed in the dominant model ($1 - \beta > 0.8$; α level of $P < .05$) by 2-tailed tests using G*power software available at <http://www.psych.uni-duesseldorf.de/aap/projects/gpower>.

3. Results

3.1. Characterization and genotyping of the study population

The characteristics of the 1576 nondiabetic subjects (1043 women, 533 men) are shown in Table 1.

rs3731859 was in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium ($P = .2$). The observed MAF and the MAF published by HapMap were 0.458 and 0.400, respectively.

3.2. Associations between GPBAR1 SNP rs3731859 and metabolic traits

After appropriate adjustment and Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons, rs3731859 was not significantly associated with regional or ectopic fat distribution, peak aerobic capacity, levels of incretins, insulin sensitivity, or indices of insulin secretion (all P s $\geq .02$). Nominal associations were found between rs3731859 and BMI, waist circumference, fasting GLP-1 levels, and soleus muscle intramyocellular lipids (IMCL) ($P = .02$, $P = .02$, $P = .05$, and $P = .03$, respectively; Table 1).

4. Discussion

Genotyping of a metabolically well-characterized population for rs3731859 revealed no reliable association of the *GPBAR1* gene with regional fat distribution, aerobic physical fitness, insulin secretion, insulin sensitivity, or incretin levels. However, for some traits, for example, incretin levels, our study was insufficiently powered to detect small or moderate effect sizes. Furthermore, we cannot exclude that rare variants in the *GPBAR1* locus that have not been investigated in the present study may be associated with prediabetic phenotypes. Interesting trends for associations with whole-body adiposity, GLP-1 levels, and IMCL in the soleus muscle were found, the clinical relevance of which has to be investigated in larger studies.

It is worth noting that rs3731859 lies within a high-linkage disequilibrium block ($r^2 > 0.8$) that spans 195.7

kilobases and comprises 91 SNPs with MAFs of more than 5% located in 8 distinct genes. None of the recently reported diabetes-risk SNPs [7–10] lies within this high-linkage disequilibrium block.

In conclusion, our data suggest that common genetic variation within the *GPBAR1* gene may not play a major role in the development of prediabetic phenotypes in our white population at an increased risk for type 2 diabetes mellitus.

Acknowledgment

We thank all study participants for their cooperation. We thank the International HapMap Consortium for the public allocation of genotype data. We gratefully acknowledge the excellent technical assistance of Anna Bury and Heike Luz. The study was supported in part by grants from the German Research Foundation (Fr 1561/5-1, Ga 386/9-1, and Heisenberg-Grant STE 1096/1-1) and Merck Sharp & Dohme.

References

- [1] Houten SM, Watanabe M, Auwerx J. Endocrine functions of bile acids. *EMBO J* 2006;25:1419–25.
- [2] Watanabe M, Houten SM, Matakai C, et al. Bile acids induce energy expenditure by promoting intracellular thyroid hormone activation. *Nature* 2006;439:484–9.
- [3] Katsuma S, Hirasawa A, Tsujimoto G. Bile acids promote glucagon-like peptide-1 secretion through TGR5 in a murine enteroendocrine cell line STC-1. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2005;329:386–90.
- [4] Sato H, Genet C, Strehle A, et al. Anti-hyperglycemic activity of a TGR5 agonist isolated from *Olea europaea*. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2007;362:793–8.
- [5] Maruyama T, Tanaka K, Suzuki J, et al. Targeted disruption of G protein-coupled bile acid receptor 1 (*Gpbar1*/M-Bar) in mice. *J Endocrinol* 2006;191:197–205.
- [6] Stefan N, Kantartzis K, Machann J, et al. Identification and characterization of metabolically benign obesity in humans. *Arch Intern Med* 2008;168:1609–16.
- [7] Diabetes Genetics Initiative of Broad Institute of Harvard and MIT, Lund University, and Novartis Institutes of BioMedical Research, Saxena R, Voight BF, Lyssenko V, et al. Genome-wide association analysis identifies loci for type 2 diabetes and triglyceride levels. *Science* 2007;316:1331–6.
- [8] Scott LJ, Mohlke KL, Bonnycastle LL, et al. A genome-wide association study of type 2 diabetes in Finns detects multiple susceptibility variants. *Science* 2007;316:1341–5.
- [9] Zeggini E, Weedon MN, Lindgren CM, et al. Replication of genome-wide association signals in UK samples reveals risk loci for type 2 diabetes. *Science* 2007;316:1336–41.
- [10] Zeggini E, Scott LJ, Saxena R, et al. Meta-analysis of genome-wide association data and large-scale replication identifies additional susceptibility loci for type 2 diabetes. *Nat Genet* 2008;40:638–45.